FREUND & BRACKEY LLP

Jonathan D. Freund (Admitted *pro hac vice*) Derek S. Lemkin (Admitted *pro hac vice*) 427 North Camden Dr

Los Angeles, CA 90210

Tel: (310) 247-2165 Fax: (310) 247-2190

Attorneys for Defendants

THE TOMATO MUSIC WORKS LIMITED and MARY EGGERS

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOHN TOWNES VAN ZANDT II, )	
WILLIAM VAN ZANDT, KATIE BELLE )	
VAN ZANDT, By her next Friend,	
JEANENE VAND ZANDT, )	
	Case No. 05 CV 10661 (RJH)
Plaintiffs,	,
	PARTIAL ECF CASE
v. )	FILED ELECTRONICALLY
KEVIN EGGERS, THE EGGE COMPANY)	<b>DEFENDANT'S</b>
LIMITED, TOMATO MUSIC WORKS )	<b>EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS</b>
LIMITED, TOMATO MUSIC COMPANY,)	TO THE DECLARATION OF
LTD. NAVARRE CORPORATION, THE )	PATRICK T. PERKINS
ORCHARD ENTERPRISES, INC. and	
MARY EGGERS,	
)	
Defendants.	

Defendants THE TOMATO MUSIC WORKS LIMITED and MARY EGGERS hereby submit their evidentiary objections to the evidence submitted by plaintiffs JOHN TOWNES VAN ZANDT II, WILLIAM VAN ZANDT, KATIE BELLE VAN ZANDT and JEANENE VAND ZANDT in support of their Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

## **OBJECTIONABLE EVIDENCE**

## **GROUNDS**

DECLARATION OF PATRICK T.	
PERKINS:  1. Entire declaration	1. Mr. Perkins failed to properly swear that her declaration was given under the laws of the United States as required by the Federal Rules of Evidence.
2. Paragraph 3, beginning with second sentence and to end of paragraph	2. <u>Relevance.</u> Federal Evidence Code § 401  Also: <u>Lack of Foundation, Hearsay,</u> <u>Improper Opinion</u> and <u>Speculation</u> . Ev. C. §§ 602, 801, 701
3. Paragraph 4 in its entirety.	3. Hearsay, Improper Opinion, Relevance, lack of foundation and constitutes Speculation. Ev.C. §§ 210, 350, 351 and 403
4. Paragraph 5 in its entirety.	4. Relevance. Hearsay, Improper Opinion and constitutes Speculation. Ev.C. §§ 210, 350, 351 and 403
5. Paragraph 6 in its entirety.	5. Relevance. Ev. C § 401
6. Paragraph 9 in its entirety.	6. Improper Authentication. Ev. C § 901; Improper Expert Testimony and Basis for Expert Testimony. Ev. C §§ 702, 703  Also Lack of Foundation. Hearsay. Improper Opinion. Ev. C. §§ 602, 801, 701
7. Paragraph 10 in its entirety.	7. Improper Authentication. Ev. C § 901; Improper Expert Testimony and Basis for Expert Testimony. Ev. C §§ 702, 703  Also Lack of Foundation. Hearsay. Improper Opinion. Ev. C. §§ 602, 801, 701

1 2 2 2 2 2		
8. Paragraph 11 in its entirety.	8. Relevance. Ev. C § 401	
9. Paragraph 12 in its entirety.	9. Relevance. Ev. C § 401.	
10. Paragraph 14 in its entirety.	10. Improper Authentication. Ev. C § 901; Improper Expert Testimony and Basis for Expert Testimony. Ev. C §§ 702, 703  Also Lack of Foundation. Hearsay. Improper Opinion. Relevance. Ev. C. §§ 401, 602, 801, 701	

September 14, 2006

FREUND & BRACKEY LLP

By:

Jonathan D. Freund (Admitted *pro hac vice*) Derek S. Lemkin (Admitted *pro hac vice*)

427 North Camden Dr Los Angeles, CA 90210

Tel: (310) 247-2165 Fax: (310) 247-2190